

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,075	10/27/2003	Ekambar R. Kandimalla	HYB-005US6	3779	
7590 04/18/2007 WAYNE A. KEOWN SUITE 1200 500 WEST CUMMINGS PARK WOBURN, MA 01801			EXAMINER		
			LE, EMILY M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1648	1648	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DAYS		04/18/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/694,0	075	5 KANDIMALLA ET AL.				
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Emily Le		1648				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ation appears on ti	he cover sheet with t	he correspondence a	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS IN THE MAINS IN THE MAINS OF THE M	ILING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e lication. tory period will apply and II, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS oplication to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)[🔀]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 October 20	03					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Di	·	ander Ex parte d	(day/o, 1000 0.5. 11	1, 400 0.0. 210.				
	ion of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>23-25</u> is/are pending in the a							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>23-25</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or t	o) objected to by t	he Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
			\		CFR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			•				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			eived in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s) .							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	O-948)		ail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Infom 6) Other:	nai matent Application				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,					

Application/Control Number: 10/694,075 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Per Applicant's 10/27/2003 submission, claims 1-22 and 26-38 are cancelled. Hence, claims 23-25 are pending, which are instantly subjected to a restriction requirement.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claim 23, drawn to a method comprising the active step(s) of introducing into an immunostimulatory domain a dinucleotide analog that includes a non-naturally occurring pyrimidine base,
 - II. Claim 24, drawn to a method comprising the active step(s) of introducing into the immunostimulatory domain and/or potentiation domain an immunostimulatory moiety, and
 - III. Claim 25, drawn to a method comprising the active step(s) of introducing into the oligonucleotide a 3'-3' linkage,
 all of which are classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I-III are directed to related to the modulation of immunostimulatory effect of an immunostimulatory oligonucleotide compound. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as

Art Unit: 1648

claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have materially different design and mode of operation. For example, the material design of the invention of Group I is an immunostimulatory oligonucleotide that comprises a dinucleotide analog that includes a non-naturally occurring pyrimidine base into the immunostimulatory domain of the oligonucleotide; whereas, the material design of the invention of Group III is an immunostimulatory oligonucleotide that comprises a 3'-3' linkage in the oligonucleotide. With regards to the modes of operation, each of the listed inventions is directed to the inclusion of different active method step(s). The active method step of the invention of Group I is introducing into an immunostimulatory domain a dinucleotide analog that includes a non-naturally occurring pyrimidine base; Group II is introducing into the immunostimulatory domain and/or potentiation domain an immunostimulatory moiety; and Group III is of introducing into the oligonucleotide a 3'-3' linkage. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

4. In the instant case, because each of the listed inventions is distinct for the reason(s) provided above, it is noted that a different field of search would also necessarily follow. Hence, because the inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required, (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Le whose telephone number is (571) 272 0903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5:30 pm.

Art Unit: 1648

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce R. Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Emily M. Le 04/12/09
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1648

E.Le